ought to take the lead and take primary responsibility in our local schools. The federal role in local education is limited to providing resources to educate disadvantaged students, and this limited role should be maintained

By encouraging good teachers, applauding a high level of parental involvement, and providing a safe learning environment while upholding rigorous standards, local communities play the most important role in providing quality education. The future of our schools, our nation, and our society is all the better for it.

TRIBUTE TO DAVID DeFORE

HON. BRAD SHERMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to David DeFore, who has served as the President of the Encino Chamber of Commerce for the past two years.

President Kennedy once said, "Leadership and learning are indispensable to each other." While David has acted as a role model and source of inspiration for the members of our community, he has continued to learn and grow in his own life through the pursuit of education.

A testament to his strength of character and the respect he has earned from his colleagues is illustrated through the amount of speaking engagements to which David has been invited. He has spoken on issues such as self-responsibility, goal setting, and the importance of always being a student of your profession.

David has exemplified these principles through his daily activities and his efforts within the community. He has served as the President of the Valley Cultural Center, is on the Board of Directors of the Valley Community Clinic and has served two years on the North Hollywood Project Area Committee. In addition, David has recently been appointed by Mayor Riordan to serve on the Greek Theater Advisory Committee.

His warm personality and caring nature have enabled his to be a respected leader in the business community as well. He is among the top producing commercial sales professionals in the San Fernando Valley. David also continues to take classes at UCLA Extension in a variety of areas.

Mr. Speaker, distinguished colleagues, please join me in honoring David DeFore. He is a role model for the citizens of our community.

"A WELCOME ENTRANT INTO AN IMPORTANT DEBATE"

HON. BARNEY FRANK

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, during our recess I was delighted to have a chance to address a forum sponsored by the Committee of Concerned Journalists at the Columbia School of Journalism in December. This committee, chaired by one of our most distinguished journalists, Bill Kovach, deals

with the critical subject of the responsibility of people in the journalism profession. I attended as one who both believes passionately in the importance of a free and vigorous press for our democracy, and is disappointed in the work product of that journalism much of the time. Because it is wholly inappropriate for government at any level and in any form to try to dictate to journalists, even for the best of reasons and under the best of motives, it is essential if we are to see the improvements that I think necessary in this area that we have this sort of self-scrutiny by distinguished journalists.

It is for this reason that I welcome and congratulate the journalists who have convened this committee and the work they are doing. Because I believe this deserves the full attention of everyone concerned about the state of our democracy, I ask that their organizing statement be printed here, along with the list of those who serve as the leadership of the committee. As of the end of October more than 400 journalists had signed on as members, and while that list is—happily—too long to be printed here, I would be glad to share it with any who are interested.

COMMITTEE OF CONCERNED JOURNALISTS—AN OVERVIEW

The Committee of Concerned Journalists is an unusual collaboration of reporters, editors, producers, publishers, owners and academics worried about the future of their profession

The group believes this is a critical moment in American journalism. Revolutionary changes in technology, in economic equations, in our relationship with the public, threaten the core principles that define journalism's role in democratic society.

With splintering audiences and information overload, companies at once diversifying and merging, confronted by unimaginable complexity, we have begun to doubt ourselves and the meaning of our profession.

To secure journalism's future, the group believes that journalists from all media, geography, rank and generation must be clear about what sets journalism apart from other endeavors. There is a price for our press freedoms: We have a professional obligation to broker honestly the information that citizens must have to fulfill their duties in a self governing society. It is well enough to entertain and amuse, but we must also provide democracy's grist and glue.

The group is proposing to seek a clear expression of those purposes and those core principles that unite journalists and define journalism. We have issued a statement of concern, articulating why a national effort at self examination is necessary. That statement is circulating in newsrooms across the country, gaining signatories. The plan is to convene public meetings for all types of journalists and the public. The group will listen carefully for common ground and then prepare a written report on what we have learned. It will not be a report of recommendations or a code of conduct. Like the seminal Hutchins Commission Report "A Free and Responsible Press" 50 years ago, the report will attempt to clarify the common ground journalists share.

The series, which begins in November in Chicago and ends in Boston next June, will examine key questions of principle. What is journalism? Who is a journalist? Can journalism really be neutral? What are the responsibilities imposed by the First Amendment? More than half a dozen major educational institutions have already agreed to sponsor them.

This is only a beginning. A web site will serve as a host for discussions about forum

topics, current news stories and other journalistic issues. We believe other projects will evolve

The effort was convened by the Nieman Foundation and the Project for Excellence in Journalism in June 1997 in Boston. The Committee is an extraordinary group. Members come from various media, backgrounds, ages and institutions, from David Halberstam, the New York author, to Mark Trahant, a Navajo Indian newspaper editor from Idaho; from Lucy Himstedt Riley, a news director in Montgomery, Ala., to Vanessa Williams of the Washington Post and the President of the National Association of Black Journalists, to the heads of several journalism schools.

The group has no set agenda. It is not interested in placing owners at odds with reporters, journalism with business, print with TV or the internet. It is simply a united belief that journalism is a unique form of communication. It is a mission, a service. We must communicate what that means

A STATEMENT OF CONCERN

This is a critical moment for journalism in America. While the craft in many respects has never been better—consider the supply of information or the skill of reporters—there is a paradox to our communications age. Revolutionary changes in technology, in our economic structure and in our relationship with the public, are pulling journalism from its traditional moorings.

As audiences fragment and our companies diversify, there is a growing debate within news organizations about our responsibilities as businesses and our responsibilities as journalists. Many journalists feel a sense of lost purpose. There is even doubt about the meaning of news, doubt evident when serious journalistic organizations drift toward opinion, infotainment and sensation out of balance with news.

Journalists share responsibility for the uncertainty. Our values and professional standards are often vaguely expressed and inconsistently honored. We have been slow to change habits in the presentation of news that may have lost their relevance. Change is necessary.

Yet as we change we assert some core principles of journalism are enduring. They are those that make journalism a public service central to self government. They define our profession not as the act of communicating but as a set of responsibilities. Journalism can entertain, amuse and lift our spirits, but news organizations also must cover the matters vital to the well being of their increasingly diverse communities to foster the debate upon which democracy depends. The First Amendment implies obligation as well as freedom.

For much of our history, we believed we could let our work enunciate these principles and our owners and managers articulate these responsibilities. Today, too often, the principles in our work are hard to discern or lost in the din, and our leaders feel constrained.

Now we believe journalists must speak for themselves. We call on our colleagues to join as a community of professionals to clarify the purpose and principles that distinguish our profession from other forms of communication.

Since the change we face is fundamental, it requires a response of the same magnitude. We need a focused examination of the demands on journalism of the 21st Century.

We propose to summon journalists to a period of national reflection. First, we ask our colleagues young and old to sign this declaration of concern. We believe the consortium of journalists who share a commitment to common principles is so broad and so significant that it will constitute a powerful movement toward renewal.

Next we will convene a set of public forums around the country over the next several months to hear the concerns of journalists as well as other interested individuals. The forums should reiterate two simple messages: that journalists of all generations are concerned about the direction of the profession; and that they want to clarify their purpose and principles. We do not presume to enumerate those principles here, but hope to have them articulated through the forums. These sessions, will include the public. We will publish an interim report after each one. At their conclusion, the group will release a final report that will attempt to define the enduring purpose of journalism, along with its principles, responsibilities and aspirations.

We see this as a beginning, a catalyst forging new ideas and a renewed spirit of conviction. We plan to carry the dialogue forward with a web site, videotapes of the forums and through other means. We do not intend to propose a set of solutions: this is an attempt to clarify our common ground. Nor is our motive to develop a detailed code of conduct: if journalism is a set of aims, how we fulfill them should change with changing times and be left to each news organization to decide. But if journalism is to survive, it falls to individual journalists, especially in each new generation, to articulate what it stands for.

CHILD CARE CRISIS

HON. MARGE ROUKEMA

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mrs. ROUKEMA. Mr. Speaker, it is a sad reality that today's headlines are filled with stories that spring from the everyday struggle of working families to secure safe and dependable child care. The startling reality is the daily struggle of working women and men to secure affordable and safe child care.

The trends in society and the American workforce are clear. More families have both parents working. In today's society, many families need to have two parents working just to make ends meet. A 1995 study by the Families and Work Institute found that 55 percent of the women interviewed contributed half or more of their household income. Three out of five women with children under age 6 are working, and must find someone to care for their children.

That burden is a heavy one and becomes even more burdensome when reliable, quality child care is not available.

Mr. Speaker, President Clinton has correctly identified child care as a growing American crisis—a crisis that affects both the quality of our citizens' work and the quality of their lives. However, I regret that Mr. Clinton's broad prescription implies a centralized government solution to a problem that should be solved in each local community. At a time when this Congress is struggling to complete the task of balancing the budget, the President has proposed a laundry list of tax changes, subsidies, block grants and Washington-driven standards at a cost of nearly \$22 billion. Some of these proposals have merit and deserve extended analysis and debate.

Mr. Speaker, there is another way that is far more feasible and immediately affordable.

The legislation which I am introducing, legislation that will encourage a new public-private

partnership between local school districts and businesses to develop community-based solutions to meet local child care needs. This innovative legislative initiative will be in the form of grants to local education agencies that are able to show the community's needs and commitment to a new child care program.

This legislation does not mandate a Federal program for child care that imposes some Washington-based requirements on local communities. In fact, this bill combines the concept of state and local control of education with the time-tested concept of the public-private partnership. This bill makes it possible for local schools and businesses to work together to create their own program that meets the needs of their own community, whatever they may be.

Specifically, the legislation would create a competitive grant program, administered by the Department of Education. The program would provide one-time start-up grants directly to local school districts to explore and plan child care programs for children up to five years old. Schools would be required to match these grants with private funds. The "seed" money could only be used for planning and implementation of child care programs by local school systems and private businesses, not for construction or building renovation.

My legislation: (1) Creates a competitive grant program administered by the Department of Education; (2) provides a one-time start-up grant directly to school districts; (3) is available for programs providing care for children ages 0 to 5 (or age of compulsory school education); (4) expects a commitment of matching private dollars of 50% of the funding; (5) cannot be used for building construction or renovation.

This is not an untested concept.

My legislation is based on a model program, the "Infant Toddler Development Center," which has operated successfully for more than 15 years in my Congressional District.

It was initiated by Kathy Marino, a teacher/ principal in Ridgewood, New Jersey and has attracted much-deserved praise. It is the prototype of the grant proposal I am introducing here today.

We need to help families solve the child care problem. And we need to give local communities the means to put their proposals to the test. If we want our children to get a head start in life, we must improve child care in this nation. Child care must be available and it must be affordable but most of all it must be of high quality, both in terms of safety and educational benefits.

IN MEMORY OF JAMES C. KIRKPATRICK

HON. IKE SKELTON

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mr. SKELTON. Mr. Speaker, I wish to take a moment today to speak about a man of distinguished civic service and professional integrity. Missouri's "Mr. Democrat," James C. "Jimmy" Kirkpatrick, former Missouri Secretary of State, recently passed away at the age of 92.

A native of Braymer, MO, Kirkpatrick graduated from Northeast High School in Kansas City and Central Missouri State University in Warrensburg, MO. After studying journalism at the University of Missouri, Kirkpatrick became interested in the news business. From 1954 to 1974, Kirkpatrick owned and operated several Missouri newspapers. He started his career at the Warrensburg Daily Star-Journal and rose to be editor of that newspaper. Later, he became editor of the Jefferson City News-Tribune, then purchased the weekly Windsor Review and later the weekly Lamar Democrat, in the town of Harry S. Truman's birth.

While editing the Jefferson City newspaper, Kirkpatrick was approached by then-Governor Forrest Smith to write a newspaper column and speeches for Missouri's chief executive. That is what really got him interested in politics, which became his legacy.

Jimmy Kirkpatrick first ran for statewide office in 1960, when he lost his bid to become Missouri Secretary of State to rising Democrat star Warren Hearnes. He won the job four years later, in 1964, when Hearnes was elected governor. Kirkpatrick was re-elected to his post as Secretary of State until his retirement in 1985. During his tenure, he received 8.4 million votes, making him Missouri's greatest statewide vote-getter since statehood. In his twenty year tenure, Kirkpatrick made over 1900 speeches which kept him very close to the constituents about which he cared so deeply.

In 1985, Kirkpatrick retired to Warrensburg, MO, whereupon he became the statehouse's most familiar booster of Central Missouri State University. In fact, he served for 12 years on the university's Board of Regents, including ten years as its president. Kirkpatrick was also a guest lecturer at CMSU in history, government, political science, and journalism classes. The university recently honored Kirkpatrick by naming its new library after him.

Kirkpatrick's first wife, Jessamine Elizabeth Young, passed away in 1985 after a 58-year marriage. He is survived by his second wife, Doris, one son, three grandchildren, four great-grandchildren, and three stepchildren.

Mr. Speaker, Jimmy Kirkpatrick displayed honor and integrity throughout his civic career. His admiration for and dedication to the people of Missouri is unprecedented, and I am certain that the Members of the House will join me in honoring the legacy of Missouri's own "Mr. Democrat"

TRIBUTE TO MARGARET BROCK

HON. ALLEN BOYD

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, January 28, 1998

Mr. BOYD. Mr. Speaker, rarely in my lifetime have I come to know an individual with the character and qualities of my friend who recently passed away, Margaret Brock. My only regret is that I did not know her longer. She was quite a remarkable woman, headstrong and determined to succeed in every endeavor she chose to make her own. She was a self-made millionaire, not that money was what made her rich. It was her heart, her kindness, and her ability to make every stranger feel like family. Ms. Brock will probably be best remembered for giving an ailing hospital new life, in addition to building a much needed nursing home and reviving a children's clinic